

# Computational complexity of some Ramsey quantifiers in finite models

Marcin Mostowski

Warsaw University

Jakub Szymanik

ILLC UvA, Warsaw University, GSSR Polish Academy of Science

The problem of computational complexity of semantics for some natural language constructions – considered in [M. Mostowski, D. Wojtyniak 2004] – motivates an interest in complexity of Ramsey quantifiers in finite models. In general a sentence with a Ramsey quantifier  $R$  of the following form  $Rx, yH(x, y)$  is interpreted as  $\exists A(A \text{ is big relatively to the universe} \wedge A^2 \subseteq H)$ . In the paper cited the problem of the complexity of the Hintikka sentence is reduced to the problem of computational complexity of the Ramsey quantifier for which the phrase “ $A$  is big relatively to the universe” is interpreted as containing at least one representative of each equivalence class, for some given equivalence relation.

In this work we consider quantifiers  $R_f$ , for which “ $A$  is big relatively to the universe” means “ $\text{card}(A) > f(n)$ , where  $n$  is the size of the universe”. Following [Blass, Gurevich 1986] we call  $R$  mighty if  $Rx, yH(x, y)$  defines  $NP$ -complete class of finite models. Similarly we say that  $R_f$  is  $NP$ -hard if the corresponding class is  $NP$ -hard. We prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $f(n)$  be the integral part of  $r \times n$ , for some rational  $r$  such that  $0 < r < 1$ . Thus  $R_f$  is mighty.*

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f$  be such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/n = a$  exists and  $0 < a < 1$ . Then  $R_f$  is  $NP$ -hard.*

**Theorem 3** *If  $f$  satisfies the assumptions of the previous theorem and  $f$  is  $PTIME$  computable then  $R_f$  is mighty.*

## References

- [Blass, Gurevich 1986] A. Blass and Y. Gurevich, *Henkin Quantifiers and Complete Problems*, **Annals of Pure and Applied Logic** Vol. 32 (1986), pp. 1 – 16.
- [M. Mostowski, D. Wojtyniak 2004] M. MOSTOWSKI, D. WOJTYNIAK *Computational Complexity of the Semantics of Some Natural Language Constructions*, **Annals of Pure and Applied Logic** Vol. 127 (2004), 1 – 3, pp. 219 – 227.